

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,818	02/06/2004	Teruhisa Shibahara	36856.1213	7932	
54066 MURATA MA	7590 05/11/200 NUFACTURING COM	EXAM	EXAMINER		
MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 850			SUMMONS,	SUMMONS, BARBARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	. 22102	2817			
			•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/773,818	SHIBAHARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Barbara Summons	2817		

	Barbara Summons	2017	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They hase the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	•
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		manliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,5,10 and 11</u> .	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-9 and 12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	or be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:			
	Parlane	Zmmor	—
	BARBARA	SUMMONS	

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least the newly presented feature of claim 1, i.e. "a ground wiring trace is disposed directly on the piezoelectric substrate...", requires further search and/or consideration. Additionally, it does not appear that either of claims 4 and 5 have been placed in condition for allowance because the portion of claim 1 amended on 11/21/06 (i.e. where wiring traces "are arranged in parallel, have") has not been embedded into claims 4 and 5 as amended on 5/1/07. Claims 4 and 5 were rejected in the first Office action on the merits mailed 8/21/06 as depending from claim 1 as "originally filed" (see the 5/1/07 amendment at page 7, lines 1-2), which is the form of claim 1 that has now been embedded into claims 4 and 5 in the 5/1/07 amendment. Therefore, it would appear that the rejections of claims 4 and 5 mailed 8/21/06 would still apply to claims 4 and 5 as they appear in the 5/1/07 amendment.